

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/487,405 Applicant(s)

Khanuja

Examiner

Art Unit

	Arun Chakrabarti	1634	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3)		
(2) Janet Cord			
Date of Interview Dec 16, 2002			
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes			
Claim(a) discussed: All		s <sup>2</sup>	, š
Claim(s) discussed: All  Identification of prior art discussed:  All			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠	N/A.	*
claim 6, to recite the feature identifying the molecular disting evaluation and screening is not lovel. Applicant was also advise, to remove the proion and rejection Applicant's amendment w	to amend the examiner agree	claim ant pelig the phi ut at sminal www.s. Po favoral samy, ed would reno	enotypic enotypic to culture lisclaimero tent 5, 898,000 ly although
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the	ne interview (	f box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendations of the summary of Recommendation of the summary	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	the last Official ILE A STATE	e action has MENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required